

Manteca, California
February 10, 2015

The Board of Directors of the South San Joaquin Irrigation District met in regular session in their chambers at the hour of 9:00 a.m. President Holmes called the meeting to order and led the flag salute. Upon roll call the following members were noted present:

DIRECTORS: HOLMES KAMPER KUIL ROOS HOLBROOK
ABSENT: NONE

Also present were General Manager Jeff Shields, General Counsel Steve Emrick, Engineering Department Manager Sam Bologna, Finance and Administration Manager Bere Lindley and Interim Executive Secretary Dawn Driesen.

Public Comment – None

CONSENT CALENDAR

- A. Approval of Warrants in the amount of \$653,604.59; payroll for February 6, 2015 in the amount of \$197,799.84.
- B. Approval of the Regular Board Meeting minutes of January 27, 2015.
- C. Approval of Consent to SSJID's Entry of Property to Read and to Maintain Flow Meter, Ison, Inc., APN 228-040-01 & 04.

A motion was made by Director Kamper and seconded by Director Kuil to accept the Consent Calendar and the motion passed 5 to 0 by the following roll call vote:

AYES: HOLMES KAMPER KUIL ROOS HOLBROOK
NOES: NONE
ABSTAIN: NONE
ABSENT: NONE

ACTION CALENDAR

Item #1 – Discussion and possible action regarding the 2015 Water Season and District's water use.

Mr. Shields gave an update on the current water situation.

New Melones gained 25,000 AF in the last five days and is at 590,000 AF today.

If inflow into New Melones continues as it did last year (or is worse), the Districts will receive 450,000 AF (225,000 AF each) of water this year. The Districts currently have 166,000 AF of water in our conservation account (77,000 is SSJID's). Pursuant to the 1988 Stipulation and Agreement with the Bureau of Reclamation, each district is entitled to 100,000 acre-feet plus one-third of the inflow during the water year, plus, when accessing the conservation account, only enough from the conservation account to get to 225,000 acre-feet. If New Melones receives at least 144,000 acre-feet of inflow this water year, and with 77,000 AF available from the conservation account, the SSJID will be entitled to divert 225,000 AF. The Bureau of Reclamation is estimating end of February storage at New Melones to be 544,000 AF (90% exceedance). This means the Bureau only has 94,000 AF of federal water to work with. The problem is that they have a minimum obligation of 178,000 AF just to meet the minimum flow requirements. This does not include any water for Stockton East Water District and Central San Joaquin Water Conservation District.

The District needs to plan for the worst and hope for the best. We will likely receive 225,000 AF (1/2 of 450,000 AF for OID and SSJID). This is the same amount we were entitled to last year and, with conservation measures, we were able to meet the needs of our irrigation and domestic customers. Those measures included adjustments to the irrigation season by starting a few days later and shutting the system down on September 30th. We curtailed drinking water by 20% to the cities for August and September, and we reduced the storage level in Woodward Reservoir from than the normal 210 ft. These measures allowed us to use a total of 216,000 AF in 2014. On a positive note, the December storm that dumped on the valley floor resulted in a significant inflow to Woodward Reservoir. We have approximately 3,500 AF more water than we planned on. This is water that will not count against our diversions so we actually will have 228,000 AF.

Item #2 – Discussion and possible action to declare water shortage emergency, adopt Drought Contingency Plan and set public hearing to adopt water allocation rules.

Mr. Shields addressed the Board and stated that the Department of Water Resources is not allowing water transfers based on their "white paper" rules. The white paper was established by the Department of Water Resources, but never passed by legislature or signed by the governor. The District has established that it would be cost effective to make improvements to the District's system for pressurization if the District can transfer the saved water to help pay for the project. Mr. Shields recommended to the Board that the District put the conservation program and the pressurized system study on hold until the Department of Water Resources allows transfers.

Director Holbrook asked what legal process does the District have to go after the white paper. Mr. Shields stated that he met with the San Joaquin Tributaries Authority lawyers on 2/9 and they agree that, at this point, we should cancel further capital investment in conservation improvement projects. He also hopes to have something before the legislature and Governor's office within the next couple of days.

Mr. Shields stated that if the District takes the same steps as last year, the District will have enough water to get through the season. The Division 9 Pressurized System uses 10" less than any other area in the District. The efforts from the conservation program, controlling the levels of Woodward, delaying the start of the water season, and ending water season on 9/30 all contributed to a successful season last year.

Mr. Shields said that the Ag Water Committee has met and would like implement a set water allotment that would start in 2016. Mr. Shields recommended setting the allotment at 42". He stated that they plan on establishing a team consisting of current employees that will establish which growers have high irrigation levels and help them to implement better irrigation practices. These measures would help to extend the water supply for 2016.

Director Holbrook stated that the District should be doing everything it can to conserve its water rights and this is part of the steps to protect them.

Director Roos asked Mr. Shields if the government takes water from the District's conservation account, are they required to replace it? Mr. Shields said that they have been talking to them about possibly loaning or selling water to them from the conservation account.

Mr. Lindley handed out graphs on water usage and potential water savings that would result from setting an allotment of inches of water.

Director Kamper stated that people learn to cope with their limitations and they could adapt to a limited allotment of water and tiered rates. We are already down 500,000 AF in New Melones and we need to conserve and save for next year.

Director Holmes stated that the Bureau needs 180,000 AF to fill its commitments and they are already 100,000 AF short. We shouldn't assume that we will get all of our water. We need to start the water allotment program now. If there continues to be a drought, the farmers need time to prepare.

Audience member, Ron McManis, stated that he believed 42" is too high. He doesn't think it sends a good message to the people who conserve.

Director Holbrook made a motion, seconded by Director Kamper, to adopt slightly modified versions of:

- The General Manager's recommendations, and,
- The provisions and rules proposed in Lindley's memorandum titled "Tiered Rates and Drought Allotment" which was included in the agenda package.

In general, the motion calls for setting the start of irrigation season at the next board meeting, using 10 day rotations, starting an irrigation efficiency and measurement improvement program, establishing a drought year allotment for 2015, and adopting tiered rates for 2016. The content of the motion comes from two different documents, with slight modifications. In order to preserve a clear, exact, and authoritative record of the motion, a codification of the full text of the motion is below assembled from all sources.

In specific, the motion made and seconded was:

1. Monitor drought condition and set the start date for irrigation season at the February 24 board meeting.
2. Use 10 day rotations.
3. Assign a team to focus on the largest irrigators that use more than 42 inches in order to identify the cause and develop a plan to remedy the inefficiency, in conjunction with other provisions of the irrigation efficiency and measurement improvement program described below.
4. Purchase portable flow meters to verify flows where questions arise, in conjunction with other provisions of the irrigation efficiency and measurement improvement program described below.
5. Begin the process of setting a 36 inch allotment for the 2015 irrigation season by directing staff to prepare a board resolution for the board to consider at the February 24 meeting. At that meeting the board will consider whether to approve the resolution for the purposes of giving public notice, and conducting a public hearing in accordance with Water Code sections 375 and 376. The resolution will call for a drought allotment of 36 inches of irrigation water for 2015 and will incorporate the following allotment program description and rules excerpted from “II. Drought years” in the memorandum titled “Tiered Rates and Drought Allotment” which was included in the agenda package:

Begin excerpt from memorandum:

- A. Drought years are defined as years in which supply is not expected to be adequate to meet the normal combined demand of irrigators and the water treatment plant. This calculation takes into account what we might need to reserve for future years in New Melones and the conservation account at New Melones.
 1. Can be defined as a year when the quantity of water available to divert from the Stanislaus is less than a certain threshold amount.
 2. This diversion threshold can be updated from time to time as water demand in the District changes.

- B. If the board of directors chooses to curtail water delivery to tier 2 lands (according to the terms of annexation), this ought to be declared at the same time as the drought declaration.
- C. A drought year water allotment is expressed in inches.
- D. The experience of other districts shows that it may be necessary to change the allotment during the season as the supply picture changes.
- E. Transfers of allotment among parcels are allowed in order to ease the hardship on farmers caused by the reduced water supply.
- F. Allotment transfer rules and procedures:
 - 1. Here is a simple overview of the transfer process that results from the rules below:
 - a. Irrigator(s) meet with staff to fill out a transfer agreement with the details of the transfer
 - b. Staff reviews and approves
 - c. Staff enters revised drought allotments into Truepoint for the affected parcels
 - d. Using Truepoint, ditch tenders monitor actual usage compared to the revised allotments the same as they do for parcels with normal drought allotments.
 - 2. Irrigators must apply to the District with a transfer proposal using the District designed transfer agreement form.
 - 3. Application deadline is April 10 of the irrigation season.
 - a. If any water has been delivered to the source parcels before final approval of the transfer application, then the quantity of allotment available to transfer will be reduced by the quantity already used during the season on the source parcels.
 - 4. A transfer agreement covers only one water year.
 - 5. A transfer agreement must be approved by the District Water Superintendent and approval will not be withheld unreasonably.
 - 6. The quantity of allotment available to transfer cannot exceed the quantity of water that was used on the source parcels during the preceding season.
 - a. If a parcel did not use District water during the last season then there is no allotment available to transfer.
 - b. Exceptions to 6.a.:
 - i. If a parcel was fallow during part or all of the last season because agricultural production was temporarily interrupted due to replanting or similar reasons, then consumption during the most recent full season when

water was taken can be used to establish the limit on the quantity available to transfer. Staff is authorized to make reasonable accommodation of difficulties similar to this.

- ii. If a parcel did not take water for part or all of the preceding season because it was newly annexed or because service abandonment was newly rescinded, then the full drought year allotment is available to transfer.
 - iii. A parcel that used less water last year than this year's drought allotment can still transfer this year's full drought allotment to other land only if the source and destination parcels have at least one common owner and the source parcel is physically connected to the SSJID distribution system and is capable of taking water.
7. If delivery to tier 2 parcels is curtailed according to the terms of their annexation, then there is no allotment available to transfer from such tier 2 properties.
 8. Once a transfer agreement is approved and signed by all parties, District personnel will update Truepoint with the revised allotment quantities for all the affected land parcels.
 9. The properties receiving the transfer of allotment cannot end up with more than the ____ inches of the tier 1 billing quantity.
 10. If a drought year allotment is greater than the tier 1 billing quantity, then transfers are not allowed.
 11. If the board changes the amount of the allotment during the season, the change will be expressed as a percentage and the allotments of all parcels involved in transfers will be adjusted by the same percentage of increase or decrease, subject to the limit of no. 9 above.
 12. If the board revokes a drought declaration, all transfer agreements become null and void.
 13. A transfer application is not limited to the properties of a single owner.
 14. A single transfer application is not limited to one source parcel or one destination parcel.
 15. There is no requirement that all the parcels in a transfer must be on the same distribution lateral.
 16. Once the application is approved and signed by all parties, it is irrevocable except that if the board revokes a drought declaration, all transfer agreements become null and void.

End excerpt.

6. Adopt the provisions of the irrigation efficiency and measurement improvement program described in the following excerpt from the memorandum titled “Tiered Rates and Drought Allotment”:

Begin excerpt from memorandum:

- A. The use of a drought allotment and tiered rates will increase the sensitivity of customers to inaccuracies of consumption measurements. We need to prepare in advance to address this with a measurement improvement plan.
- B. Assign a person to this effort. Someone skilled, smart, and personable. (They will be dealing with some unhappy people.)
- C. Purchase of portable flow meters and the installation of more permanent meters at strategic locations may be necessary.
- D. Pay for program costs through tier 2 rates that could begin in 2016.
- E. To prepare for the higher 2nd tier rates starting in 2016, investigate during the 2015 season the reasons why certain fields use much more than average.
 1. Make recommendations to irrigators for how to reduce usage.
 2. When errors are found, give feedback to Division Managers so they can adjust in the future
- F. Investigate water usage measurements when a customer complains.
 1. Make recommendations to irrigators for how to reduce usage.
 2. When errors are found, give feedback to Division Managers so they can adjust future measurements.
 3. Retroactive adjustment of customer accounts can only go as far back as the start of the irrigation season in which the error is alleged or discovered.
 4. Make a written record of each investigation for future reference.
- G. Investigation methods include:
 1. Interviewing the customer
 2. Interviewing the ditch tender
 3. Investigation of conditions that could cause the flow rate to be different than the Division Manger records in Truepoint (upstream sprinklers or theft?)
 4. Use of a portable flow meter
 5. Check for parcels with service abandonment agreements taking water through adjacent parcels eligible for water.
 6. Etc.

End excerpt.

7. Direct staff to begin a Proposition 218 process to adopt a tiered rate design in time for the 2016 irrigation season as described in the following excerpt from the memorandum titled “Tiered Rates and Drought Allotment”:

Begin excerpt from memorandum:

- A. As part of a two tier rate design, the board of directors establishes a tier 1 billing quantity expressed as inches of water. The tier 1 quantity is billed at the lower tier 1 rate. The Tier 1 quantity is not a cap on consumption.
- B. A second tier rate is added for water use in excess of the tier 1 billing quantity.
 1. This would leave us with the existing parcel rate (currently \$24/acre), a highly subsidized tier 1 rate (currently \$3/acre-foot), and a higher tier 2 rate.
 2. For reference, our cost of service was about \$80/acre-foot in 2014.
- C. The second tier rate is intended to be high enough to discourage use of more than the tier 1 billing quantity.
- D. Water is offered on a certain rotation and each farmer is free to decide when to take water and how to allocate the tier 1 billing quantity over the course of the season.
- E. In non-drought years, transfers of tier 1 quantity between parcels are not allowed, because:
 1. Transfers for the purpose of increasing supply to certain parcels are unnecessary because irrigators can use all the water they need.
 2. Transfers for the purpose of avoiding the tier 2 rate are undesirable from the District’s perspective because that would mute the conservation price signal of the tier 2 rate.
- F. No effort is made to customize accommodation of sandy soils or particular crops; it is one size fits all.

End excerpt.

8. Direct staff to recommend a tier 1 billing quantity and a tier 2 billing rate at the next board meeting of February 24 in order to give less efficient irrigators early notice so they can make advance preparations to manage water better in 2016, and to fill in the blank at provision F.9. of the drought allotment program above.

Director Kuil wanted to make sure that people who have been paying parcel fees on property, but not taking water, could transfer that allotment to another parcel owned by the same grower. Mr. Lindley pointed out that there is a suggested provision for this in the comment at the top of page 6

of the Tiered Rates and Drought Allotment plan addresses this issue. After some discussion this feature was added to the drought allotment program and is codified above as item 5.F.6.b.iii.

Motion passed 5-0.

Item #3 – Authorize purchase vehicles.

Joe Catanzarite, Water and Operations Supervisor, stated that they will be replacing 1 one-ton truck for the Industrial Electrician, 1 one-ton for the Engineer and 4 half-ton trucks for Divisions 1, 4, 5 and 6. Steves Chevrolet was the lowest bidder. Motion was made by Director Kuil and seconded by Director Holbrook to purchase 1 – 1 ton regular cab and chassis 2WD WT for \$26,475.11, 1 – 1 ton regular cab and chassis 4WD WT for \$28,512.26 and 4 – ½ ton regular cab 2WD WT for \$22,402.50 each from the lowest bidder for a total amount of \$144,597.37. Motion passed unanimously.

Item #4 – Consider Amendment to Irrigation Service Abandonment No. 1499 for The Cloward Family Trust, APN 205-070-19.

Mr. Bologna stated that this item was brought to the Board on October 28, 2014. The item was tabled until the applicant secured an easement from his neighbor to share the private pipeline. The applicant has provided verification of the easement that satisfies the condition. Mr. Bologna recommended approving the amendment subject to the following conditions:

1. Lateral "Bk203dd" is capable of delivering flood water to the subject property, however at the District's discretion; owner shall be required to install a flow meter. The District will notify owner when the District requires the owner to install the meter. The owner is required to install the meter according to the District's specifications within the time frame given in the notice, which shall not be less than 30 days. The District may deny water to the owner until the owner installs the meter to the District's specifications at the owner's expense within the time frame specified in the notice.
2. Owner shall acknowledge that the property is restricted to receiving flood irrigation service and that permission to receive water service by any other means will be subject to submittal of a permit that may require owner to make additional improvements in accordance with the District standards, at their own expense. Such improvement may include, but shall not be limited to, installation of a positive shut off or box structure on the private line between properties in order to isolate service between the two parcels, should it be determined that a live line between parcels is problematic. Owner will further acknowledge that he will be denied water delivery if the Division Manager determines that he is not able to properly manage his water deliveries.
3. Owner shall be apprised of the District's rules regarding irrigation service as it relates to sharing the use of a private facility. As a condition of approval, owner shall obtain a formal recorded easement agreement with the neighboring parcel (205-070-50) that adequately addresses all issues related to the shared use of the private pipeline. The

agreement should address terms of maintenance, access, duration, metering, and change of parcel ownership. SSJID will not act as a moderator of this agreement. Furthermore, SSJID will not be required to provide water to any system that cannot take the water efficiently and effectively.

4. Owner shall be responsible for all costs related to providing service to this property, including standard water charges and back fees consistent with District policy.
5. Owner agrees to make all modification necessary to enable use of the existing pipeline at his own expense and to allow reasonable access to private property by District personnel to determine if all conditions specified in the amended service agreement are satisfied.
6. Flood Water Measurement Method: Water use will be measured based on a time vs. flow calculation as recorded by the Division Manager. The District shall reserve the right to require flow meter/meters, meeting District Specifications, to be installed at the owner's expense to accurately measure the delivered water to each parcel. The District will notify the owner when the District requires the owner to install the meter. The owner will be required to install the meter according to the District's specifications within the time frame given in the notice, which shall not be less than 30 days. The District may deny water to the owner until the owner installs the meter.
7. Sprinkler Water Measurement Method: If sprinkler use is ever permitted, a meter per district specifications will be required on the pumping system to keep a running total of water delivered to the site. Division Managers should record the total water delivered to the site based on before and after meter readings. If multiple parcels are serviced by one meter, the Division Manager shall determine the amount of water delivered to each property based on the proportional percentage of irrigated acreage.
8. Conditions stated above shall be incorporated into the amended agreement.

Motion was made by Director Kamper and seconded by Director Holbrook to approve the Amendment to Irrigation Service Abandonment No. 1499 in accordance with staff's recommendations. Motion passed 5-0.

Item #5 – Approve Resolution No. 15-01-P to quitclaim property owned in fee to David & Harriet Schaapman.

Mr. Bologna addressed the Board and said that this request was brought to the Board on October 14, 2014. The Board conceptually approved the request with the condition that the parcels be merged into one parcel. Mr. Schaapman has hired a surveyor who is preparing the documents necessary for the merger. Mr. Bologna is requesting the Board to authorize the General Manager to sign all documents related to the transfer and to submit said documents to an escrow account to be established by Schaapman.

Motion was made by Director Holbrook and seconded by Director Kuil to adopt Resolution No. 15-01-P quitclaiming property owned by the District to David L. Schaapman and Harriet S. Schaapman.

**SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT
RESOLUTION NO. 15-01-P**

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE BY QUITCLAIM
OF PROPERTY OWNED BY THE DISTRICT**

WHEREAS, the SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT, hereinafter referred to as “District”, acquired title to certain real property by deed recorded September 10, 1931 in the Book of Official Records Vol. 379, Page 230, San Joaquin County located within a portion of Section 35, Township 1 South, Range 9 East, Mount Diablo Base and Meridian, and more particularly described in Exhibit A (“Subject Property”), and

WHEREAS, the Subject Property lies adjacent to the real property located at 16645 Seidner, Escalon (229-160-28) which is owned by David L. Schaapman and Harriet S. Schaapman, hereinafter referred to as “Buyer”, and

WHEREAS, Buyer wishes to purchase the Subject Property, which is generally described as an approximately 40’ x 87’ strip of land, from District pursuant to the terms approved by the District’s Board of Directors at the October 14, 2014 Board meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Subject Property is no longer necessary for the District’s purposes and is surplus to its needs, and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the South San Joaquin Irrigation District that the District’s interest in the Subject Property be transferred to Buyer on the terms as outlined by Board action of October 14, 2014 as follows:

1. Buyer’s payment of the purchase price of \$2,004.28.
2. District’s title to be conveyed by Quitclaim Deed.

3. Buyer will pay all costs related to transfer, including but not limited to, closing costs, title fees, brokerage fees, survey costs, recording fees and administration costs.
4. Buyer shall assume all liabilities for property associated with acquisition.

WHEREAS, the District's Board of Directors finds as follows:

1. The consideration for the transfer of the Subject Property is fair value, and
2. The proposed disposition of the Subject Property is categorically exempt under California Environmental Quality Act as Class 12 Project pursuant to 14 CA Adm. Code of Regulations 15112 since the surplus government property does not have significant value for wildlife habitat or other environmental purposes and the property is of such size and shape that it is incapable of independent development or use.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of the South San Joaquin Irrigation District hereby authorize the President and Secretary to execute on behalf of the District a quitclaim deed to the Buyer disposing of the District's interest in the Subject Property on the terms described above.

Passed and adopted this 10th day of February, 2015 with the following roll call vote:

AYES:	KAMPER HOLBROOK HOLMES KUIL ROOS
NOES:	NONE
ABSTAIN:	NONE
ABSENT:	NONE

Item #6 - Communications

Director Holbrook

- Nothing to report.

Director Kuil

- Attended the Tri-Dam Advisory Committee meeting on February 2nd. Susan had a good review on the Tulloch permit fees.

Director Roos

- He was made aware of the recent hacking of Anthem Health Insurance's system and wanted to make sure that all employees had been notified.

Director Kamper

- Attended the California Irrigation Institute Conference. Had a class about splitting a farm apart by soils and irrigating it separately. They talked about irrigation efficiency and salinity.

Director Holmes

- Nothing to report.

Justin Ashworth, Water Treatment Plant Operations Supervisor

- Started work on the sanitary survey of the watershed. This report is due every five years to the State. The last report was completed by an outside engineer and cost about \$40,000. They feel the staff at the WTP can do this work in-house and save approximately \$40,000.
- Started work on the new storage building.
- Started working on the fiscal budget for year ending June 30, 2016. The cities have requested this be delivered to them by March 1st.

Don Battles, Utility Systems Director

- Nothing to report.

Bere Lindley, Finance and Administration Manager

- Nothing to report.

Troylene Vallow, Communications

- The Employee Dinner will be held on March 6th at Spring Creek.
- The Boys & Girls Club Golf Tournament will be on Friday, May 1st.

Sam Bologna, Engineering Department Manager

- The Conservation Program has stayed the same.
- Julie Vrieling attended the California Irrigation Institute Conference.
- Working with the City of Manteca on the Family Entertainment Zone Project which affects the FCOC and Drain 8.
- Working with San Joaquin County regarding the McHenry Bridge Replacement which affects the Main Canal.
- Asked the Board when they would like to have the workshop for the Pressurization Study. Board decided on March 25th.

Jeff Shields, General Manager

- Will be attending the Eastern San Joaquin Groundwater Banking Authority meeting on February 11th at 9:30 at Cal Water office in Stockton.
- State Water Board's Water Quality Control Plan that is calling for 35-40% unimpaired flow was set to be released in the summer of 2014, but was pushed back to March, 2015. It has been further delayed to June. He believes it will be pushed back even further. Groundwater is key piece of WQCP as it will significantly impact the groundwater in San Joaquin County. The State Water Board assumes 100% of water taken through the 35%

requirement will be made up by pumping groundwater. The new groundwater regulation requires a “sustainable” groundwater basin. Westland put a provision in the groundwater bill that says if the State or Federal Government take the water through regulation that impacts the groundwater sustainability, that amount of water will be deducted from the sustainability formula.

- Curtailment orders are being proposed by the State Board. We received notice of a potential curtailment and request for information regarding our diversions. The San Joaquin Tributaries Authority met and decided to notify the State Water Board that we will not provide the data as requested because the rules require a specific complaint to be filed on diversions in the SJTA water sheds. Several water agencies across the state, including the SJTA, sent a joint letter to the State Water Board on February 5th calling for them to enforce the water rights priority system. This is intended to stop illegal (undocumented) diversion of water that is released from storage and intended to be transferred to another agency. This will largely impact Delta diversion where no riparian or pre-1914 rights exist.
- Kristin Olsen paid a visit last week and asked if the District had any water issues, to please bring it to her.
- OID’s fallowing program has been put on hold after the Department of Water Resources said they could not transfer the conserved water.

The following Structure Permits were approved:

- Ison, Inc., APN 228-040-01, sprinkler sump, Lat. R
- Robert D. Rocha, APN 205-090-16, 2-24” valves, Lat. Be

The following Structure Abandonments were approved:

- Edward A. Machado, APN 208-140-17, c-structure, Lat. Re

The following Encroachment Agreements were approved:

- TAP Land Co., APN 245-140-10, pipe for irrigation system, Lat. R

It was announced that Items 6a would be discussed in Closed Session.

Item #6 – Closed Session

- a. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation
Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9
- 1 case

Upon their return from Closed Session, it was announced that there was no reportable action.

ITEM #7 – ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, it was moved by Director Kamper and seconded by Director Holbrook to adjourn the meeting. Motion passed 5 to 0 as follows:

AYES: HOLBROOK HOLMES KUIL ROOS KAMPER

NOES: NONE

ABSTAIN: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

ATTEST: _____
Dawn Driesen, Interim Executive Secretary